

## **BELL ATLANTIC RESPONSE TO MA DTE KPMG EXCEPTION**

<b>Exception #:</b>	10
<b>Component:</b>	<b>HP observed inconsistencies during a review of the Pre-Order Business Rules Version 2.8.1 (LSOG 3) and the Pre-Order EDI Guide Version 2.8.1.</b>
<b>Domain:</b>	POP
<b>Date Uncovered by KPMG:</b>	6/19/00
<b>Date BA Received:</b>	6/19/00
<b>Date BA Responded:</b>	6/28/00
<b>KPMG Summary Statement and</b>	<b>If the Pre-Order Business Rules and the Pre-Order EDI Guidelines and Generic EDI Examples are incorrect or unclear, a CLEC cannot properly format the EDI transactions and exchange correct data with Bell Atlantic.</b>
<b>BA Response:</b>	<p><b><u>BA Response: (06-28-00)</u></b></p> <p>A. On the 5.17 CCSR EDI Example, the CCNA field is depicted as mapped to N1*78*CCNA. However, the CCNA field is not listed in the CCSR Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.</p> <p><b>BA Response:</b> The EDI Example will be updated to remove the reference to the CCNA field.</p> <p>B. On page 9 in the Business Rules for CCSA, DATASIZE is identified as a valid data element. DATASIZE is not found in the EDI Guidelines or the 5.18 CCSA EDI Example. It is unclear whether DATASIZE is valid for EDI. In addition, the EDI mapping is unclear.</p> <p><b>BA Response:</b> The Data Size field is a calculation of the length of the CSSR text message. EDI returns the text message but not the calculated Data Size; therefore, this will not be displayed in the specification or example.</p> <p>C. On page 14 in the Business Rules for ADR/ADI, TOS is identified as a valid data element. However, TOS is not found in the EDI Guidelines. There is mapping depicted within the 5.1 ADR/ADI EDI Example.</p> <p><b>BA Response:</b> The TOS field will be added to the EDI Guide for the ADR/ADI transaction. The data element is SI03, the qualifying data element = SI01=TI and SI02=TY.</p> <p>D. On page 42 in the Business Rules for ADA/ADI, MSG is identified as a valid data element. However, MSG is not found in the EDI Guidelines.</p>

There is mapping depicted within the 5.2 ADA/ADI/RTR EDI Example.

**BA Response:**

The ADA/ADI example will be updated to reflect the mapping of MSG.

- E. On page 54 in the Business Rules for Conversational TN Selection Inquiry, CLLI is identified as a valid data element. However, CLLI is not found in the EDI Guidelines. There is mapping depicted within the 5.1 ADA/ADI EDI Example. CLLI should be added to the EDI Guidelines for ADA/ADI.

**BA Response:**

The CLLI data element is identified in the EDI Guide. See CTNS(I)/CTNS(R).

- F. On the 5.7 CSR-CSI EDI Example, field CUSCODE is depicted to be mapped to REF\*11\*CUSCODE\*CUSCODE. However, the CUSCODE field is not listed in the CSR-CSI Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.

**BA Response:**

The CUSCODE field is identified in the EDI Guide. See CSR, CSA, CCSR, CCSA.

- G. On page 111 in the Business Rules for CSA-CSI, FEATURE and FEATUREDETAIL are identified as valid data elements. However, FEATURE and FEATUREDETAIL are not found in the EDI Guidelines. There is mapping depicted within the 5.8 CSA/CSI EDI Example.

**BA Response:**

The EDI Guide will be updated to reflect the FEATURE and FEATUREDETAIL fields.

- H. On page 114 in the Business Rules for CSA-CSI, TERS is identified as a valid data element. However, TERS is not found in the EDI Guidelines. There is mapping depicted within the 5.8 CSA/CSI EDI Example.

**BA Response:**

TERS should be added to the EDI guidelines for CSA. The data element is SI DE234. The qualifying data is SI DE1000="T5".

- I. On page 53 in the EDI Guidelines for CSA-CSI, SAST is depicted to be mapped to N4 02 when N1 01 qualifier = IT in the PO1/N1. However, in the 5.8 CSA-CSI EDI Example, SAST is depicted to be mapped to N4 02 when N1 01 qualifier = BY in the Header. It is unclear whether this field is Header or Detail and what N1 01 qualifier is valid.

**BA Response:**

The EDI guidelines are correct. The line "N4\*\*SAST" should be deleted from the header for example 5.8. The line in the detail of the example is correct.

- J. On page 127 in the Business Rules for DLR, FINDINGNAME is identified as a valid data element. However, FINDINGNAME is not

found in the EDI Guidelines. There is mapping depicted within the 5.9 DLR EDI Example.

**BA Response:**

FINDINGNAME field was added to the DLR in version 2.8.1 of the EDI guidelines. The EDI Guide will be updated to reflect the mapping of this data element.

- K. On the 5.9 DLR EDI Example, field CCNA is depicted as mapped to N1\*78\*CCNA. However, the CCNA field is not listed in the DLR Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.

**BA Response:**

CCNA is not a valid field for transaction DLR. The line "N1\*78\*CCNA" should be deleted from example 5.9.

- L. On page 131 in the Business Rules for DLA, FINDINGNAME is identified as a valid data element. However, FINDINGNAME is not found in the EDI Guidelines. There is mapping depicted within the 5.10 DLA EDI Example.

**BA Response:**

FINDINGNAME field was added to the DLA in version 2.8.1 of the EDI guidelines. The EDI Guide will be updated to reflect this data element.

- M. On the 5.10 DLA EDI Example, field ABVNME is depicted to be mapped to N1\*LYM\*ABVNME. However, ABVNME field is not listed in the DLA Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.

**BA Response:**

ABVNAME is the old name for FINDINGNAME in the north. They were combined under one name with the release, but the examples were not updated. We should remove the line "N1\*LYM\*ABVNME" from example 5.10.

- N. On the 5.10 DLA EDI Example, field DES is depicted to be mapped to IN2\*21\*DES. However, this is an invalid segment for ANSI 4010 and cannot be mapped. DES is mapped to N9/MSG per the EDI guidelines. It is unclear whether additional mapping needed.

**BA Response:**

The DES field is mapped correctly (N9/MSG) in example 5.10 of version 2.8.1 of the EDI examples. This was corrected in CR#1515.

- O. On page 152 in the Business Rules for PSA, LINE is identified as a valid data element. However, LINE is not found in the EDI Guidelines. There is mapping depicted within the 5.3 PSA EDI Example.

**BA Response:**

The EDI Guide will be updated to reflect the LINE field.

- P. On page 69 in the EDI Guidelines for PSA, field INQTYP is depicted to be mapped to SI\*IQ\*INQTYP. However, in the 5.3 PSA EDI Example,

field TXTYP is mapped to S1 02 (SI\*IQ\*TXTP). It is unclear whether INQTYP field is the correct mapping for SI with qualifier IQ.

**BA Response:**

INQTYP is the correct fieldname. TXTYP is not a valid fieldname for LSOG3 preorder. Example 5.3 should read "SI\*IQ\*INQTYP".

- Q. On page 72 in the EDI Guidelines for PSA, SAST is depicted to be mapped to NX2 02 when N1 01 qualifier = BY in the Header and N4 02 when N1 01 qualifier = IT in the PO1/N1. However, in the 5.3 PSA EDI Example, SAST is depicted to be mapped to N4 02 when N1 01 qualifier = BY in the Header. It is unclear whether this field is Header and/or Detail, what N1 01 qualifier is valid, and what segment is valid for the SAST field (N4 or NX2).

**BA Response:**

The comment "PO1/N1" in the guidelines for the SAST field in the PSA query should be removed, but the guidelines are otherwise correct for this field. Version 2.8.1 of the EDI guidelines already lists this field as N402 in the header, and lists qualifiers of "N101=IT" and "N102=NONE". The example uses an incorrect qualifier of "BY" when the qualifier should be "IT". An additional N1 loop will be added to the header of example 5.3. That N1 loop will contain the N1 segment "N1\*IT\*NONE" followed by an N4 segment "N4\*\*SAST". The N1 loop containing the Company Code will no longer contain the SAST.

- R. On the 5.19 ISR EDI Example, field CCNA is depicted to be mapped to N1\*78\*CCNA. However, the CCNA field is not listed in the DLR Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.

**BA Response:**

CCNA is not a valid field for transaction ISR. The line "N1\*78\*CCNA" should be deleted from example 5.19.

- S. On the 5.11 LQB/LQE EDI Example, field CCNA is depicted to be mapped N1\*78\*CCNA. However, the CCNA field is not listed in the LQB Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.

**BA Response:**

CCNA is not a valid field for transaction LQB/LQE. The line "N1\*78\*CCNA" should be deleted from example 5.11.

- T. On the 5.11 LQB/LQE EDI Example, field CI is depicted to be mapped to PID\*X\*\*TI\*CI\*CI. However, the CI field is not listed in the LQB Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.

**BA Response:** CI is not a valid field for transaction LQB/LQE. The line "PID\*X\*\*TI\*CI\*CI" should be deleted from example 5.11.

- U. On page 97 in the EDI Guidelines for DDA, SAST is depicted to be mapped to N4 02 when N1 01 qualifier = IT in the PO1/N1. However, in the 5.5 DDA EDI Example, SAST is depicted to be mapped to NX2 02 when N1 01 qualifier = BY in the Header. It is unclear whether this field

is Header or Detail and whether the N1 01 qualifier is valid.

**BA Response:**

Guidelines and example are both incorrect for SAST in transaction DDA query. The guidelines should say header and the comment "PO1/N1" should be removed. The example uses an incorrect qualifier of "BY" when the qualifier should be "IT". An additional N1 loop will be added to the header of example 5.5. That N1 loop will contain the N1 segment "N1\*IT\*NONE" followed by an N4 segment "N4\*\*SAST". The N1 loop containing the Company Code will no longer contain the SAST.

- V. On the 5.6 DDR EDI Example, several fields are mapped. However, these fields are not listed in the DDR Business Rules or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether the following fields are valid: CTI, EXTCTEFFDT, TAX, CUSTTYP, SOD, NOVISIT, SAT, SUN, VISINT, VISOVER.

**BA Response:** The EDI Example will be updated to remove the following lines:

PID\*S\*\*TI\*CTI\*\*\*BA-RSQ\*CTI

DTM\*007\*EXTCTEFFDT{CCYYMMDD}  
TXI\*TAX\*\*\*\*\*1

SLN\*1\*1\*A\*1\*EA  
PID\*X\*\*TI\*CUSTTYP\*CUSTTYP  
PID\*S\*\*TI\*AC\*\*\*SO-RSQ\*SOD  
QTY\*VS\*NOVISIT\*EA\*NOVISIT  
QTY\*VS\*SAT\*EA\*SAT  
QTY\*VS\*SUN\*EA\*SUN  
QTY\*VS\*VISINT\*EA\*VISINT  
QTY\*VS\*VISOVER\*EA\*VISOVER

- W. On the 5.15 SOR EDI Example, field CCNA is depicted to be mapped N1\*78\*CCNA. However, the CCNA field is not listed in the SOR Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.

**BA Response:**

CCNA is not a valid field for transaction SOR. The line "N1\*78\*CCNA" should be deleted from example 5.15.

- X. On the 5.13 LXR EDI Example, field CCNA is depicted to be mapped to N1\*78\*CCNA. However, the CCNA field is not listed in the LXR Business Rule or EDI Guidelines. It is unclear whether this is a valid field.

**BA Response:**

CCNA is not a valid field for transaction LXR. The line "N1\*78\*CCNA" should be deleted from example 5.19.

- Y. On page 108-109 in the EDI Guidelines fields BOX, AHN, and ROUTE are identified as a valid data elements. However, these fields are not found in the LXR/LXA Business Rules. There is mapping depicted within the LXR/LXA EDI Example. It is unclear whether these are valid

fields and whether they should be added to the LXR/LXA Business Rules.

**BA Response:**

The fields are missing from the Business Rules. The Business Rules will be updated to reflect the addition of the missing fields and notification of the change will be distributed via bulletin.

- Z. On page 245 in the Business Rules for LXA, MLT is identified as a valid data element. However, MLT is not found in the EDI Guidelines. There is mapping depicted within the LXA EDI Example. It is unclear whether these are valid fields for LXA.

**BA Response:**

MLT is a valid data element. The EDI Guide will be updated to reflect the mapping of this field. The depiction of MLT in the LXA example 5.14 is incorrect. The following lines should be deleted from the example:

```
N9*ME*MLT
MSG*MLT
```

The following lines reflecting the current implementation of MLT in LXA should be appended to the example:

```
ST*855*0001
BAK*11*AT*INQNUM*D/TSENT{CCYYMMDD}
DTM*097*D/TSENT{CCYYMMDD}*D/TSENT{HHMM[SS]}
N1*BY**25*CC
PO1|1|1|EA||A6|MLT
N9|82|MLT
MSG|MLT
SE|8|0001
```

- AA. On page 111 in the EDI Guidelines for LXA, SAST is depicted to be mapped to N4 02 when N1 01 qualifier = IT in the PO1/N1. However, in the 5.14 LXA EDI Example, SAST is depicted to be mapped to NX2 02 when N1 01 qualifier = BY in the Header. It is unclear whether this field is Header or Detail and whether the N1 01 qualifier is valid.

**BA Response:**

In example 5.14, SAST is mapped to NX202 with qualifier "IT" in the detail. The issue states incorrectly that SAST is depicted in the header with qualifier BY. The only error in the example is that it should be mapped to N402, as the guidelines indicate. The fix for this will be to delete "NX2\*24\*SAST" and change "N4\*\*\*SAZC" to "N4\*\*SAST\*SAZC".